

## #1 RESULTS QUALITY REPORT

The quality of first set of results was evaluated in the period from 25/11-10/12/2019 in accordance with the PQA Guide. The quality was evaluated by the Results Quality Evaluation Form.

In the past period, the following 14 results were analyzed: 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 6.1, 6.2, 7.1, 8.1, 8.2, 8.3.

R #	RESULT	TARGET INDICATOR	QAMC EVALUATION	JUSTIFICATION & SOURCES
1.1	Comparative analysis report	- 6 countries examined - 200 hardcopies	Very good quality (5)	Publication includes all 6 countries envisaged. It has 144 pages, published in English based on the agreement of the consortium. Printed 100 copies and has positive feedback from all partners in the project. The comparative analysis represents a good starting point for policy recommendations.  Sources: publication, interview with publication editor.
1.2	Project implementation manual	- Positive feedback	Very good quality (5)	Complete, informative and well organized document. Distributed to all institution coordinators. Positive feedback from institution coordinators.  Source: Interview with project coordinator.
1.3.	Policy recommendations	- Stakeholder specific	Very good quality (4.4)	The policy briefs look very relevant, well presented and formulated. The briefs are stakeholders specific addressing 3 different groups of stakeholders.  Sources: Policy briefs available at project website; Interviews with

				policy briefs editors
1.4	Project Advisory Board with external stakeholders	- 10 members	Good quality (3.8)	<p>2 National (NABs) and 6 Local Advisory Boards (LABs) were established. NAB Montenegro consists of 2 stakeholders. NAB Serbia consists of 4 stakeholders. LAB Kruševac consists of 4 stakeholders, LAB Vršac 3, LAB Novi Sad 5 and LAB Sremska Mitrovica 5.</p> <p>Sources: List of Advisory Board contact details, interviews with project coordinator and institution coordinators at 6 partner HEIs.</p>
1.5	Introduction conference	- 80 participants	High quality (100%)	<p>Preparation, organization and content of the conference contributed to the good project launch. The introductory conference was held in the large presence of representatives of all project partners institutions, representatives of the Erasmus Office in Montenegro, Ministry of Education, University of Montenegro, over 80 participants.</p>
2.1	Improved teachers competences in ECEC CPD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 1 seminar</li> <li>- 24 teachers trained</li> <li>- Great satisfaction with the seminar</li> </ul>	Very good quality (4.8)	<p>Achieved: 2 seminars (in Rakičan and Vršac), 37 teachers trained, having showed high level of satisfaction</p> <p>Source: training reports, photos</p>
2.2	Set of founding documents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 2 workshops</li> <li>- 6 sets of founding documents</li> </ul>	Good quality (3.75)	<p>4 workshops were held in Pirot, Maribor, Nikšić and Novi Sad. Over the period of 7 months, all 6 partner HEIs developed and adopted 6 sets of founding documents.</p>

				<p>Despite the greater number of workshops than planned, and developed/adopted 6 sets of founding documents, HEIs are advised to further develop plan of work of centers, code of conduct, sustainability plan and cooperation agreements with preschools, teachers and their associations in order to ensure the sustainability.</p> <p>Sources: workshop reports, photos, decisions available at project website</p>
2.3	Provided space, inventory and administrative staff	- 6 premises designated	Very good quality (5)	<p>Each partner HEI from Serbia and Montenegro allocated premises for ECEC CPD centers/hubs. Basic inventory (desks, chairs, shelves) is provided for all spaces. Each institution appointed min. 1 person as manager of the center and 1 deputy.</p> <p>Sources: Decisions available at project website</p>
7.1	Project website	- Increased number of website visits - Min. 5 web updates/month	Very good quality (4.1)	<p>The website is informative, complete containing all relevant project information, well organized. Website is updated regularly with new pieces of information, on average 3 per month. There are on average 50-100 website visits per month.</p> <p>Source: <a href="http://projectkey.net">projectkey.net</a></p>
8.1	Project kick-off meeting	- 20 kick-off participants	Very good quality (5)	<p>The kick off meeting was held with 21 participants. At the meeting the constitution of project teams started, agreements and</p>

				<p>tasks were agreed about the development of dissemination plan, quality plan, drafting of management manual and quality manuals. Good atmosphere among the team members was encouraged.</p> <p>Sources: kick off meeting report, photos</p>
8.2	Enhanced competences of admin staff to manage EU grants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 1 training</li> <li>- 15 admin staff trained</li> <li>- Positive feedback</li> </ul>	Very good quality (100% of positive responses)	<p>Achieved: 2 training, 35 staff trained, positive feedback with 100% of positive responses (meeting of expectations; relevance of training).</p> <p>Sources: training reports, photos</p>
8.3	Smooth project management, administration and reporting ensured	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 6 SC meetings</li> <li>- Reporting and delivery of documents every 4 months</li> <li>- Positive atmosphere among SC members</li> </ul>	Very good quality (4.68)	<p>This result is an ongoing results having impact on the project over the entire implementation period. Over the first 14 months, the total of 4 SC meetings were held, reporting and delivery of documents is ensured every 4 months, and positive atmosphere among SC members could be identified.</p> <p>The first management evaluation indicated a very positive perception of the interviewees with average 4.68 points (1-5 scale).</p> <p>Sources: SC meeting reports, 1<sup>st</sup> management quality report</p>